

INSURGENTS HAVE VERY LITTLE SHOW

**As Predicted, They Are Turned
Down by the Church Repub-
-lican Organization**

**CRITCHLOW COMMITTEE
SUBMITS PROPOSITIONS**

State Convention to Select Delegates Will Be Held Here on May 7.

Just as The Tribune predicted, the insurgents in the church, but the party were turned down by the regulars, were given a real hard spanking so to speak, and told to be good in the church. The result of the convention was adopted by the Republican State committee that no one would be allowed to participate in the primaries unless they agreed to support the present ticket. The result will be that a bitter fight will be made upon the floor of the State convention.

The State convention to select delegates to the Brethren's national convention will be held in Salt Lake City on Thursday, May 7. It will consist of 472 delegates. Of this number, Salt Lake county will have 133, Weber 44, Utah 42, Cache 30, Garfield 20, 14, Davis 13, Box Elder 19, Sevier 14, Summit 14 and Tooele 10. This apportionment is based on one delegate for every ninety votes cast for Joseph H. Allen.

The State committee convened in the office of the State land board on Monday. All the members were present. The Rev. J. A. Abner was H. T. Haines of Emery, John P. Packer of Grand county, Charles Merrill of Piute, George E. Adams of Uintah and Joseph W. Musser of Wasatch.

The insurgents were present, Col. A. B. Irvine being the spokesman. On behalf of the Critchlow committee of fifteen he presented two propositions, one that it be the sense of the meeting that a candidate for United States Sen-

ator he named by the State convention the other, that the call be broad enough to include all Republicans, and that burning subjects on behalf of the insurgents. The propositions follow:

To the Members of the Republican State Committee.

Resolved: In accordance with your request of this date we respectfully submit the following:—

1. That the Republican State Convention be held May 7, 1905. After stating the primary object of the call we suggest that the following language be adopted:

In addition to the foregoing the following resolution will also be presented:

Resolved: That the following be the action:

"Resolved: That it is the sense of the convention, that the Republicans of Utah shall in the future indicate their choice for United States Senator in the regular State Convention."

purpose of nominating the State ticket."

Said convention is also called for the purpose of discussing other questions of public policy which now confront the people in the State of Utah.

In the event that the foregoing does not meet with your approval we submit, as an alternative, the following:

That the further business of said convention shall include a discussion as to the propriety of recommending to the Republicans of Utah the adoption of a party platform that the State convention to be called for the purpose of caucusing for delegates, shall nominate and declare its choice for United States Senator and

addition to the above matters shall embrace a discussion of the general policy and questions now agitating the minds of the Republicans of Utah, and deemed inimical to the welfare of the party in the approaching campaign."

Respectfully submitted,
JOHN J. CRUTCHLOW,
A. B. IRWIN,
GEORGE LAWRENCE,
WILLIS JOHNSON.

Propositions Turned Down.

Both propositions were turned down by the State committee agreed to embody in its call, after stating the object of the committee to nominate delegates to the Chicago convention, "and to discuss such other matters as might be brought before the convention." This was all the insurgents could get upon the two propositions.

The regular committee appointed a committee of five to consider the question of the Republican Senators who refused to confirm certain appointments of the Governor last winter, for which action the Smoot month read them out of the party in a severe criticism of their acts. The committee of five is

report to the State committee on May 6, when the committee will again convene.

Will Attend Convention.

Governor Cutler says that at the present time he sees no reason for his not attending the convention of Governors of the various States called at Washington, D. C., May 13, by President Roosevelt. He has asked several United States

Railroad Answers Suit.

In its answer to the amended complaint of John J. Spencer, who sued for \$1500 damages and costs as bailn for injuries done to his home by the explosion of a dynamite cartridge containing other highly explosive agents, May 2, 1907, the Oregon Short Line railroad makes a general denial to all allegations and prays for dismissal. Spencer originally sued for \$2000 damages.

Mr. Harriman goes on to explain that the Union Pacific is nothing more than a connecting link of a thousand miles, and that the rates over the road are set by the Southern Pacific at one end, and by the roads from the East at the other end.

The fight with the Salt Lake route in 1902 is told briefly and the statement is made that this road would never have been contemplated by its builders had it not been that they intended to secure the right-of-way owned by the Utah Nevada Central. The various agreements with the Clark road are explained.

Mr. Harriman admits that the Union Pacific, in connection with the Central Pacific, is a competitor of the Atchafalaya, Topeka & Santa Fe for a large volume

Mr. Harriman's answer, as well as all of the others, ends with the usual prayer that the case be dismissed.

Mr. Harriman next discusses the steamship business which the Oregon Railroad & Navigation company is said

done to his home by an explosion of several cans of nitroglycerine and other high explosive agents, May 2, 1907, the Oregon Short Line railroad makes a general denial of the allegations and prays for a dismissal. Spencer originally sued for \$2000 damages.

nies, might have competed against the

sui-
cidal on the part of the Union Pa-
cific to take such a step because of the
big field which the Southern Pacific
opens to it and in which it could not
otherwise enter.

Mr. Harriman goes on to explain that

the Union Pacific is nothing more than a connecting link of a thousand miles, and that the rates over the road are set by the Southern Pacific at one end and by the roads from the East at the other end.

The fight with the Salt Lake route is

1902 is told briefly, and the statement made that this road would never have been contemplated by its builders had it not been that they intended to secure the right-of-way owned by the Utah Nevada Central. The various agreements with the Clark road are ex-

Mr. Harriman admits that the Union Pacific, in connection with the Central Pacific, is a competitor of the Atchison Topeka & Santa Fe for a large volume of Pacific coast trade.